ABSTRACT

Background: Autism is a mental developmental disorder, manifested in the early childhood. Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder is another psychiatric condition of the neurodevelopmental type. Both disorders affect information processing in the nervous system, altering the mechanisms which control how neurons and their synapses are connected and organized.

Purpose: To examine if quantitative EEG assessment is sensitive and simple enough to differentiate autism from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and neurologically typical children.

Material and methods: Quantitative EEG is a type of electrophysiological assessment that uses computerized mathematical analysis to convert the raw waveform data into different frequency ranges. Each frequency range is averaged across a sample of data and quantified into mean amplitude (voltage in microvolts mV). We performed quantitative EEG analysis and compared 4 cohorts of children (aged from 3 to 7 years): with autism (high [n=27] and low [n=52] functioning), with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder [n=34], and with typical behaviour [n=75].

Results: Our preliminary results show that there are significant qEEG differences between the groups of patients and the control cohort. The changes affect the potential levels of delta-, theta-, alpha-, and beta- frequency spectrums.

Conclusion: The present study shows some significant quantitative EEG findings in autistic patients. This is a step forward in our efforts, aimed at defining specific neurophysiologic changes, in order to develop and refine strategies for early diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders, differentiation from other development conditions in childhood, detection of specific biomarkers and early initiation of treatment.
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Given that the qEEG technique has the advantages of being less expensive, easier to perform, and noninvasive compared with some other neuroimaging techniques (e.g., positron emission tomography and computed tomography), it has been advocated as a potential clinical assessment for neurological and psychiatric disorders (Hughes & John, 1999).

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

Participants
A total of 156 children participated in the present study. The normal control (NC) subjects were recruited from local primary schools. Parents were invited to complete and return the consent form to the research team if they agreed to have their children participate in the project. Children who had a history of neurological problems or abnormal developmental milestones were excluded from the study, resulting in a control group of 75 children. ASD patients were 79, including 52 low functioning and 27 high-functioning autistic children. ADHD patients were 34. Mean age was 4.82 years, age range was 3-7 years, gender ratio (male/female) was 59/16 for the control group, 50/12 for low functioning ASD, 21/6 for high functioning ASD, and 27/7 for ADHD subjects. Children with ASD and these with ADHD were either recruited from the Association of the Resource centres in Bulgaria, or from the subject database of the Clinic for Children psychiatry at the Medical university of Varna. Children with ASD and ADHD had previously been diagnosed by specialists at the University clinics in the country.

EEG Recording
Each child was tested individually in a sound- and light-attenuated room. Before the EEG assessment, the child and/or the parent were given a brief verbal explanation of the procedure along with a written description. Informed consent was obtained from the parents of all subjects. The experimental procedure was preapproved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of The Varna Medical University. After the parents had indicated that they understood the procedure, an electrode cap with 8 electrodes, based on the International “10-20” System (Jasper, 1958) referenced to linked ears, was positioned on the head of the child. To keep the children engaged and awake, they were asked to focus on a computer screen, which displayed some swimming fish of different colours or a car. We recorded few minutes of continuous EEG signals in eyes-open condition.

Data Analysis
According to the criteria suggested to produce a reliable measure [4], only data that had at least one minute of artefact-free EEG data were selected. Frequency bands were classified into delta (0.5 – 4.0 Hz), theta (4.0 – 8.0 Hz), low alpha (8.0 –12.0 Hz), high alpha (12.0 – 15.0 Hz), low beta (15.0 – 22.0 Hz), and high beta (22.0 – 34.0 Hz). Data from 8 electrode sites – F3, F4, T3, T4, C3, C4, P3, P4 were selected for further analysis. The absolute power (the amount of energy in mV) was calculated for each frequency band at each electrode site.

RESULTS:

Absolute Power of Normal Children and Children with ASD and ADHD
The absolute power of each frequency band for the NC, ADHD and ASD groups were averaged. The topographic maps demonstrating the absolute powers of delta, theta, alpha1, alpha2, low beta1, and high beta2 between the groups are presented in Fig.1.

Fig. 1. Absolute power of the frequency bands for the assessed groups

Significant multivariate group differences were found for the absolute power. Our results revealed that ASD and ADHD groups demonstrated higher absolute delta and theta, lower alpha and higher beta1 and beta2 than the NC group.

In the ASD low function group, qEEG presented extremely increased electrical potentials across all leads – very high levels in the lower frequencies (delta and theta) and high beta frequencies. The abnormally low activity in the average alpha spectrum is remarkable.

The ASD high function group showed lower average qEEG frequency amplitudes than children with low function autism. Low-frequency delta and theta bands were identical for both groups. Asperger’s children presented with lower beta activity, compared to classical autists.

The total amplitude of all frequencies in children with ADHD was lower in comparison with the values in ASD. Children with ADHD had increased potentials of low-frequency delta and theta. ADHD showed average values of alpha and high-frequency beta close to the NC group.

Neurotypical control group had the lowest electrical power activity and a balanced distribution of the frequency bands.

DISCUSSION:
The findings of the present study revealed that children with ASD demonstrated significantly higher delta, theta and beta and lower alpha levels compared with ADHD children and normal counterparts. The level of intelligence of ASD children reflect in the beta1 and beta2 activity, where the low functioning autists have higher electrical power, comparing with high functioning ASD. In contrast to the EEG profile of ASD, children with ADHD demonstrated abnormal delta–theta activity, but their beta activity was comparable with the NC. This dissociation might be suggestive of unique EEG characteristics of the neuro-
pathological profiles associated with different neuro-developmental disorders. Children with ADHD have been consistently found to present with frontal dysfunction, but neuropathology among children with ASD was relatively more extensive, resulting in a more extended functional deficiency.

On the other hand, alpha rhythm has been associated with various cognitive processing such as memory [5] and arousal states. Because the present study examined the EEG pattern in resting state, it is unclear whether the relatively lower alpha is related to the overall cognitive arousal level of ASD children or it reflects some specific cognitive dysfunction.

Although the present findings are encouraging, more studies are needed to test the reliability and validity of the results before the technique can be applied clinically. Specifically, further studies should compare the profile of a larger sample of subjects with various developmental problems, including Asperger syndrome, specific learning disorders, epilepsy, and developmental language delay. Although the present results remain consistent after controlling for general intelligence in the ASD groups, further studies will be needed to compare children with a similar level of general intelligence to examine the specificity of this method to ASD.
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