ABSTRACT
This notification is intended to present our experience in applying various anatomic landmarks and approaches to the shoulder joint in unipolar post fracture endoprosthesis replacement.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: The period of monitoring includes the last 5 years. For this period, 35 shoulder joint aloplastics after a proximal shoulder fracture have been performed at the Orthopaedics and Traumatology Department at the Medical University - Varna, St. Anna General Active Treatment Hospital Base. The patients were in the group above 70 years of age; of them, 30 were women, and 5 were men. 32 hemiprostheses and 3 bipolar prostheses were implanted.

RESULTS: During the unipolar endoprosthesis replacement, we have used various approaches to the shoulder joint. To process the results of the arthroplastics performed after the proximal humerus fracture, the Constant Shoulder Score method was used with a Questionnaire Card. This Questionnaire Card investigates the patient’s condition on the fourth week after the intervention. The level of pain, activity and motions in the shoulder are examined. Each one of the indexes gives the respective estimation, as a result of which the patient’s status is summarized. This status varies from “bad” to “excellent”. The other method used for a statistical result processing was VAS (Visual analogue scale of pain).

CONCLUSION: Using various approaches to shoulder joint in aloplastics depends on the reason which has led to this aloplastics, on the surgeon’s experience and on knowing the anatomic details of the area affected.
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After cutting the skin and subcutaneous tissue, the sulcus between m. deltoideus and m. pectoralis major, filled with fatty tissue, can be seen. There lies v. cephalica, over the anterior edge of m. Deltoideus (Fig. 2).

Another landmark for the border between both muscles is the different direction of their muscular fibres (Fig. 3). The m. deltoideus fibres are situated from the bottom upwards, whereas the m. pectoralis fibres are situated transversely. V. cephalica can be turned aside together with the deltoid muscle to the outside, or can be ligated. During the penetration in depth, the tendon of m. biceps brahii short head is revealed. This tendon can be turned aside or cut and after that attached again.

M. subscapularis is revealed, and if it is separated together with the small tubercle, it is turned aside medially, and if it is not, it is cut at 1.5 cm from its place of attachment (Fig. 4).

A skin cut is performed laterally from the deltopectoral sulcus [5, 6] (Fig. 5, Fig. 6).
N. musculocutaneous is revealed and is turned aside together with the coracobrachial muscle. The penetration between the m. deltoideus is performed without cutting them in order to preserve their innervation and prevent any possibility of subsequent atrophy (Fig. 7). The next steps are identical with those at the anterior deltoid-pectoral approach.

The dissection starts on the acromioclavicular joint level, on 5-7 mm after the acromion top, and continues straight laterally down along the deltoid muscle. It should not go on more than 4 cm from the deltoid muscle’s external part, in order to protect n. axillary, which is located below the subacromial bursa fold.
When the subacromial bursa is visible, a careful longitudinal pull away along the extremity length allows a retractor to be placed in the subacromial space (Fig. 9). Then the anterior deltoid part is released from the acromial insertion to the acromioclavicular joint. Detaching the deltoid from the acromion anterior part may include a small part of the bone, in order to facilitate restoration and to protect the deltoid muscle. After that, the extremity is rotated outwards, and the head is moved upwards and upward in order to perform a correct positioning. If the biceps is still visible, a tenotomy or a tenodesis has to be performed [9, 10, 11]. M. subscapularis, m. teres minor and m. infraspinatus are held when visible. A partial detachment of m. subscapularis could be performed, when it is hard to obtain a larger dislocation of the humerus.

LATERAL TRANS DELTOID APPROACH

Basically, at this approach, the n. axcilaris passage should be taken into consideration. The n. axcilaris goes from the back to the front transversely to the muscular fibres to about 5 cm from the acromioclavicular joint and the beginning of the deltoid muscle. Therefore, the longitudinal separation of muscular fibres should be no more than 3-4 cm from the muscle beginning (Fig. 10).

Fig. 10. Lateral Trans Deltoid Approach and Nervus Axcilaris

CONCLUSION:

Using a certain approach to the shoulder joint at unipolar post fracture endoprosthesis replacement depends on several factors. On the one hand, from the type of the endoprosthesis used - monoblock, bicomponent or reverse prosthesis. The surgeon’s experience and preferences are essential. On the other hand, the available working base and surgical equipment are also substantial.

The main approaches to the shoulder joint, which we use most often in cases of unipolar post fracture endoprosthesis replacement, are the deltoid-pectoral approach and the anterior-lateral trans deltoid approach.
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