ABSTRACT
Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) reactivates during immunosuppression (IS) and immune deficiency. The introduction of stem cell transplantation and the development of transplantology require compliance with criteria for assessing the risk of reactivation of latent viral infections, including EBV. There are no published EBV DNA findings in Bulgaria for such patient groups.

Aim: The aim in this study is to assess the possible benefit of EBV PCR testing in immunosuppressed individuals.

Materials and Methods: We investigated 50 immunosuppressed patients – 28 with various haematological diseases, 17 after kidney transplantation and 5 patients with autologous stem cell transplantation (HSCT). Patients were first tested in an indirect ELISA to detect anti-VCA IgM/IgG (Euroimmun, Luebeck, Germany) and then in quantitative PCR (Sacace Biotechnologies S.r.l., Como, Italy).

Results: We found EBV DNA in 14.0% (95% CI: 5.8% - 26.7%, n=7) of all tested patients. The Real time PCR results were in the range 100-500 copies/ml at the lower limit of the 500 copies/ml test positivity. The highest proportion of patients with haematological diseases (21.4%), predominantly with AML.

Conclusion: We found a relatively small proportion of IS patients with detectable EBV DNA. For HSC-transplanted patients, we anticipate probable reactivation or reinfection in one patient, who was anti-VCA IgG positive in the primary study.
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INTRODUCTION
It has been well established that cell-mediated immunity is important for the control of EBV reactivation. Immunosuppressed and immunocompromised patients are at high risk of viral reactivation and of EBV associated malignancies, accompanied by increased viral replication and the number of virus infected cells [1,2]. On the other hand, these patients are considered to be at risk of infection with different strains of EBV [3]. Serological methods are not relevant in the diagnosis of EBV infection in immunocompromised and immunosuppressed patients due to dysfunction of the immune system and appearance of atypical serological profiles [4]. The PCR method is a method of choice and may have prognostic value for patients with detectable EBV DNA [1]. We investigated the viral load in patients mainly with hematological diseases and patients that have undertaken transplantations, to determine the risk of EBV reactivation. We believe that data collection across different patient groups will help create practical diagnostic algorithms for controlling and managing viral infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
We investigated 50 immunosuppressed patients – 28 with various haematological diseases (56%), 17 after kidney transplantation (34%) and 5 patients with autologous stem cell transplantation (HSCT) (10%). In this study, we did not include patients with Hodgkin’s and Non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas. A plasma sample of patients with stem cell transplantation was taken once within the first month after the transplantation.

Indirect ELISA tests for anti-VCA IgM/IgG (Euroimmun, Luebeck, Germany) were used according to the standard instructions described in the test protocol.

For the Real time PCR, DNA was extracted in 150
il plasma samples (Kit RiboVirus, Sacace Biotechnologies S.r.l., Como, Italy). The target region in the viral genome was amplified with EBV Real-TM Quant (Sacace Biotechnologies S.r.l., Como, Italy) in reaction volumes of 25ìl and using the QuantStudioDx Real-Time PCR Instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA USA). The average age of the sample, the proportions and the confidence intervals were determined with SPSS, vs 23.

RESULTS

The average age of all subjects was 46.4 years (SD ± 18.3), range 3-80 years. Individuals with hematological diseases predominated (56.0%, n = 28). Their average age was 50.8 (SD ± 20.6). Of these, those diagnosed with acute myeloid leukemia (AML) prevailed– 82.1%. The remaining patients were after kidney transplantation – 34.0% (n = 17, average age 42.0 ± 11.8 years) and autologous stem cell transplantation (HSCT) – 10.0% (n = 5, average age 36.8 ± 19.1).

We have data on anti-VCA IgM/IgG in ELISA for 36 of the patients (72.0%, 95% CI: 57.5% - 83.8%). These were all patients with hematological diseases, stem cell transplant patients and three patients after renal transplantation. Positive in ELISA anti-VCA IgM were two patients – aged 6 years (HSCT) and 80 years (AML). All tested positive for anti-VCA IgG, indicating infection with the virus. Data according to the RU/ml (relative units/ml) by age is presented in Figure 1. Values above 22 RU/ml are taken as positive according to the criteria used in the test.

Table 1. Characteristics of IS patients with detectable EBV DNA in Real-time PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Detectable EBV DNA n (%)</th>
<th>Negative EBV DNA n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Renal transplanted</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17 (100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSCT</td>
<td>1 (20%)</td>
<td>4 (80%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haematological diseases</td>
<td>6 (21.4%)</td>
<td>22 (78.6%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The average age of patients with detectable viral DNA in the PCR was 52.4 years (SD ± 33.57). Highest values (approximately 500 copies/ml) occurred in the eldest patient - 80 years (AML). We detected viral DNA in both patients positive in the anti-VCA IgM assay. Comparison of the results of anti-VCA Ig G in RU/ml and EBV DNA in copies/ml is presented in Figure 2.
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The presence of EBV DNA in Real-time PCR was found in 14.0% (95% CI: 5.8% - 26.7%, n = 7) of those tested (Table 1). All patients were in the range 100-500 copies/ml at the lower limit of the 500 copies/ml test positivity.

Fig. 1. Comparative representation of reported RU/ml by ages
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Fig. 2. Comparative representation of quantitative anti-VCA IgG in RU/ml and viral load in copies/ml
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DISCUSSION

In the current study, we found a lower proportion of immunosuppressed patients with detectable EBV DNA. Since the group was heterogeneous, we compared the results according to the clinical diagnosis. The highest proportion of detectable viral DNA was obtained in patients with hematological diseases (five of them had a clinical diagnosis of AML). In another study, we found a significantly higher proportion of EBV DNA positive patients with Hodgkin’s lymphoma (40.7%) and non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma (15.4%) with higher viral load (unpublished data). Clinical cases have been reported with the detection of EBV DNA mainly in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and chronic myeloid leukemia, more commonly in childhood [5]. It is believed that there is an increased risk of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in children under one year due to maternal EBV infection during pregnancy [6], although other authors reject his [7]. In 47 patients with different hematological diseases, including lymphomas, and other immunosuppression the authors found viral reactivation with a wide range of viral load. The outcome for most patients was fatal, and many opportunistic infections were described. In 7/12 patients the CMV viremia occurred within 1 month of the EBV viremia and the other opportunistic infections were found within 2 months of first positive results. Fludarabine and alemtuzumab, commonly used in therapy, are believed to have an additional suppressive effect on T cell immunity and are a possible cause of EBV reactivation [1]. There is a high risk of common subclinical EBV, HCMV and HHV-6 reactivations in T-cell leukemia. The authors state that reactivations of HHV-6 and EBV are self-limiting. They do not associate with a particular disease, and patients do not experience reduced survival [8]. Other authors suggest that with new immunosuppressive regimens, more potent immunosuppressive agents or multiple treatments, the increased demand for EBV and CMV is warranted [9]. The amount of viral load we have found is very low, and this would not affect the prognosis of the disease, but our studies were very limited. It is necessary to accumulate more data on the risks of reactivation of viral infection in such patients and additional evidence of connection between the virus and leukemia.

There is significantly more information on the risks of EBV infection and its reactivation in immunosuppressed patients after HSCT and solid organ transplantation (SOT). In renal transplant patients, we did not detect EBV DNA. Based on the literature, the incidence of PTLD (Post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorder) after SOT is about 1% to 2.3%, the latest data is being obtained by observing a significant number of patients (n = 1537) [10]. The time for extracting plasma post-transplant, the small sample size and the single point testing are factors that may have affected our results. Over 10 years of observation of a large cohort of transplant patients in France found that the risk of development of PTLD was high in the first and after the 8th year. EBV was found to cause early post-transplant complications and was associated with the first peak [11]. A higher incidence has been demonstrated in seronegative recipients, which means that children are at higher risk [12]. In a preliminary analysis of the onset of primary infection, we found that EBV distribution in Bulgaria follows the model of developed countries. This means that a large population of children and young people is seronegative [13].

A higher risk of developing PTLD (between 1% and 3%) occurs with allogenic HSCT transplantation [14]. After HSCT, the median onset of PTLD is after 2 months, whereas after the SOT is a 6th month [15]. Our data is from autologous transplant patients. In these cases, the risk of reactivation is insignificant, and the current standards do not include EBV DNA monitoring [16, 17, 18]. We have studied relatively few patients, and plasma EBV DNA was found at one, examined at the end of the first month after transplantation, without being traced in dynamics. Serological studies a month later showed an anti-VCA IgM positive and anti-VCA IgG positive profile. Initial serostatus shows evidence of EBV infection and does not define primary infection. This single case is not enough to draw conclusions about post-transplantation follow-up of autologous transplantation cases. Other authors also identified EBV DNA after autologous stem cell transplantation in 5 patients [1]. Initial serostatus registration in ELISA before transplantation is a mandatory procedure. In interpreting the serology data, the immune status of the patient should be considered due to the fact that immunosuppression may delay the humoral response to EBV antigens and may suppress the IgM formation. Consideration should also be given to the presence of haemotransfusions [16], with which the titer of IgG increases because of the passively acquired antibodies. Post-transplantation monitoring should be continued, with particular attention to seronegative cases. PCR is now a routine method in diagnostic laboratories, and there should be no restrictions on its use.

CONCLUSION

We found a small proportion of detectable EBV DNA (14.0%) in IS patients. Viral copies are in very low quantities. The highest is the proportion of patients with clinical diagnosis of AML. Among the HSC transplants, one patient seropositive in the primary study may have reactivation or reinfection. EBV DNA post-transplant monitoring can define cases of viral reactivation and help prevent and treat possible complications.
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